Reactions of 1,3-bis(ω-bromoalkyl)-6-methyluracils with 2-(dialkylamino)ethylphosphonates and 2-(dialkylamino)ethyl phosphates

V. S. Reznik, * V. D. Akamsin, and I. V. Galyametdinova

A. E. Arbuzov Institute of Organic and Physical Chemistry, Kazan Research Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 8 ul. Akad. Arbuzova, 420088 Kazan, Russian Federation.

Fax: +7 (843 2) 75 2253

1,3-Bis(4-bromobutyl)-6-methyluracil reacts with diethyl 2-(dimethylamino)ethylphosphonate to form a bisquaternary ammonium salt, whereas the reaction of 1,3-bis-(6-bromohexyl)-6-methyluracil with diethyl 2-(diethylamino)ethyl phosphate gives 1,3-bis-[(6-diethoxyphosphoryloxy)hexyl]-6-methyluracil and 1,1,4,4-tetraethylpiperazinium dibromide.

Key words: 1.3-bis(ω -bromoalkyl)-6-methyluracil, diethyl 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl-phosphonate, diethyl 2-(diethylamino)ethyl-phosphate, 1.3-bis $\{4-\{2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-ethyl\}$ dimethylammoniobutyl $\}$ -6-methyluracil dibromide, 1.3-bis $\{6-(diethoxyphosphoryloxy)-hexyl}$ -6-methyluracil.

As part of continuing studies of procedures for the preparation of tetraalkylammonium derivatives based on 6-methyluracil and procedures for the synthesis of nonglycoside analogs of pyrimidine nucleotides containing the phosphoryl group at a specified distance from the N atom of the pyrimidine ring, 2,3 we studied the reactions of 1,3-bis(ω -bromoalkyl)-6-methyluracils (1a,b) with 2-(dialkylamino)ethylphosphonate and 2-(dialkylamino)ethyl phosphate. As expected, 1,3-bis(4-bromobutyl)-6-methyluracil (1a) reacted with diethyl 2-(dimethylamino)ethylphosphonate (2) to form a bisquaternary ammonium salt (3) (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

It is known that dialkyl 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl phosphates are alkylated with MeI to form the corresponding ammonium salts⁴ (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2

$$(RO)_{2}POCH_{2}CH_{2}NMe_{2} + MeI \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(RO)_{2}POCH_{2}CH_{2}^{\dagger}Me_{3} \cdot I^{\dagger}$$

$$O$$

$$R = Et or Pr^{i}$$

One would expect that the reaction of 1,3-bis(obromoalkyl)-6-methyluracil 1 with aminoethyl phosphates will also afford the corresponding ammonium derivatives. However, the reaction of 1,3-bis(6-bromohexyl)-6-methyluracil (1b) with diethyl 2-(diethylamino)ethyl phosphate (4) in boiling ethyl methyl ketone afforded 1,3-bis[6-(diethoxyphosphoryloxy)hexyl]-6-methyluracil (5) and 1,1,4,4-tetraethylpiperazinium dibromide (6) (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3

To elucidate whether this reaction pathway has a general character, we performed the reaction of aminoethyl phosphate 4 with bromoheptane (7) under analogous conditions. As a result, we obtained diethyl heptyl phosphate (8) and piperazinium salt 6.

Consequently, such reaction pathway as transesterification of the initial phosphate 4 is most likely attributable to the fact that diethyl aminoethyl phosphate 4 exists at high temperature as 1,1,4,4-tetraethylpiperazinium bis(diethyl phosphate) (9)⁵ (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4

Therefore, it can be suggested that alkylating agents 1b and 7 react with salt 9 rather than with aminoethyl phosphate 4 resulting in compounds 5, 6, and 8.

The ³¹P NMR and IR spectra of compounds 3, 5, and 8 do not contradict the suggested structures.

Experimental

The IR spectra were recorded on a Specord-75 IR spectrometer in a thin film or as Nujol mulls between KBr plates in the region of $400-4000~\rm cm^{-1}$.

The ³¹P NMR spectra were measured on a KGU-4 instrument (10.2 MHz, 85% H₃PO₄ as the internal standard).

The starting compounds, viz., diethyl 2-(diethylamino)ethyl phosphate,⁵ diethyl 2-(dimethylamino)ethylphosphonate,⁶ and 1,3-bis(ω-bromoalkyl)-6-methyluracils (1a,b),⁷ were prepared according to known procedures. Their physicochemical constants coincided with the published data.

1,3-Bis{4-[2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)ethyl]dimethylammoniobutyl}-6-methyluracil dibromide (3). A solution of diethyl 2-(dimethylamino)ethylphosphonate (2) (2.09 g, 0.01 mol) and 1,3-bis(4-bromobutyl)-6-methyluracil (1a) (1.98 g, 0.005 mol) in ethyl methyl ketone (30 mL) was refluxed for 12 h. The oil that formed was separated. This oil crystallized upon addition of ether. The crystals were filtered off, thoroughly washed with ether, and dried in vacuo (0.02 Torr) at 35-45 °C. Water- and ethanol-soluble compound 3 was obtained in a yield of 3.28 g

(81%), m.p. > 102 °C (decomp.). Found (%): C, 42.84; H, 7.26; Br, 19.45; N, 6.89; P, 7.66. $C_{29}H_{60}Br_2N_4O_8P_2$. Calculated (%): C, 42.75; H, 7.42; Br, 19.62; N, 6.87; P, 7.60. IR, v/cm⁻¹: 1065 (P—O—C); 1255 (P=O); 1660, 1715 (C=O). ³¹P NMR, δ : 30.

Reaction of 1,3-bis(6-bromohexyl)-6-methyluracil (1b) with diethyl 2-(diethylamino)ethyl phosphate (4). A solution of bromide 1b (4.52 g, 0.01 mol) and phosphate 4 (5.06 g, 0.02 mol) in ethyl methyl ketone (35 mL) was refluxed for 30 h. The crystals that precipitated were filtered off (filtrate A) and washed with ether. Product 6 was obtained in a yield of 3.4 g (94%), m.p. > 308 °C (decomp.) (cf. Ref. 8). Found (%): C, 40.27; H, 8.02; Br, 44.18; N, 7.61. $C_{12}H_{28}Br_2N_2$. Calculated (%): C, 40.01; H. 7.83; Br. 44.37; N. 7.77. Filtrate A was concentrated in vacuo (10 Torr). The residue was diluted with benzene (30 mL) and filtered. After removal of the benzene, the residue was kept at 90 °C (0.005 Torr) for 30 min. Phosphate 5 was obtained as a pale-brown viscous oil in a yield of 4.31 g (72%). Found (%): C, 50.02; H, 8.21; N, 4.56; P, 10.64. C₂₅H₄₈N₂O₁₀P₂. Calculated (%); C, 50.16; H, 8.08; N, 4.68; P, 10.35. IR, v/cm⁻¹: 1050 (P-O-C); 1280 (P=O); 1675, 1710 (C=O). ³¹P NMR, 8: 2.

Reaction of bromokeptane (7) with phosphate 4. A solution of phosphate 4 (1.48 g, 5.8 mmol) and bromide 7 (1.04 g, 5.8 mmol) in ethyl methyl ketone (30 mL) was refluxed for 35 h. The reaction products were isolated as described above. Product 6 was obtained in a yield of 0.93 g (89%). Phosphate 8 was obtained as a pale-yellow viscous oil in a yield of 3.7 g (94%). Found (%): C, 52.19; H, 9.76; P, 12.45. $C_{11}H_{25}O_4P$. Calculated (%): C, 52.37; H, 9.99; P, 12.28. IR, v/cm^{-1} : 1055 (P=O-C); 1280 (P=O). ³¹P NMR, δ : 2.

References

- V. S. Reznik, K. A. Anikienko, V. K. Kurochkin, V. D. Akamsin, I. V. Galyametdinova, and E. N. Bychikhin, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1998, 362, 68 [*Dokl. Chem.*, 1998 (Engl. Transl.)].
- V. S. Reznik and Yu. S. Shvetsov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Khim.*, 1970, 2254 [Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1970, 19 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 3. V. S. Reznik, Yu. S. Shvetsov, V. S. Bakulin, and I. Sh. Salikhov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Khim., 1975, 1397 [Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1975, 24 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 4. L. E. Tammelin, Acta Chem. Scand., 1957, 11, 1340.
- J. I. G. Cadogan and L. C. Tomas, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 2248.
- A. N. Pudovik and G. M. Denisova, Zh. Ohshch. Khim., 1953, 23, 263 [J. Gen. Chem. USSR, 1953, 23 (Engl. Transl.)].
- V. S. Reznik, I. Sh. Salikhov, Yu. S. Shvetsov, A. N. Shirshov, V. S. Bakulin, and B. E. Ivanov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., 1977, 880 [Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., 1977, 26 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 8. K. H. Meyer and H. Hopff, Chem. Ber., 1921, 54, 2274.

Received November 4, 1999; in revised form February 25, 2000